

## Regular Meeting Minutes: Wednesday, February 13, 2019 at 7 p.m.

Ме	mber	s Present (Quorum Established at Four or More	Members)		
	Antho Sean I Martin	ny "Tony" Saltalamacchia (Vice Chair) 🛛 🖾 DiBartolo (Plan Review) 🗆	] Michael Auteri ] Timothy Kiernan (A	ustainable Verona Liaison) Alternate II) (Liaison from Town Council)	
	Jaran	DISCUSSION		ACTION REQUIRED	
1.	Call to Order by Jess:         a)       Open Public Meetings Act Statement         b)       Role Call performed.		•	None.	
2.	• M • A • C	lic Comment Period: MOTION to Open Public Comment Period: Jess; APPROVAL: All Members Present AYE. Dlivia Le Warn, the Watershed Ambassador for Wa Management Area 4, was present. No Public Comment. Period Closed.		• None.	
3.	App • I	<ul> <li>Approval of January 9, 2019 Meeting Minutes:</li> <li>MOTION to Approve: Jess; Second: Tony.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Sean will email approved minutes to Township Clerk Kiernan and Steve Neale.</li> </ul>	
4	• . a	ona HS Outreach for more participation less had conference call with School Superintender and VHS Principal Cogdill 1/17/19 to expand Studer with the VEC. Scheduling with students is difficult be rastly involved in sports and other extracurricular ac	nt participation ecause they are	Marine Biology and Environ. Clubs.	
	f	/EC will extend invitation for students to attend our urther engagement, education and to experience he nade, challenges, planning of projects etc.		their participation.	
	t N S	Schedule some activities with students at special weeknight hours to expand participation and accommodate their schedules. <b>MOTION to expand HS participation/form new events for</b> <b>Students</b> : Frank; <b>Second:</b> Michael. <b>APPROVAL</b> : All Members Present AYE.		<ul> <li>Each will poll students on sports and extracurricular schedules.</li> <li>Each should ask if those groups are willing to come to short weeknight events rather than Sat am events.</li> </ul>	
5.	Upd	ates:			
	•	Rain Garden Review: Sean Met with Mike DeCarlo, Chuck Molinaro, Te and Steve Neale about plans and installation of t Garden. Sean describes plans (provided by Rutgers) and schedule according to CDBGrant, which will func Olivia mentions a Green Infrastructure workshop Montclair that may inform on the overall construct	the Rain Township's the project. 3/19/19 in	contact on this project/Steve Neale and DeCarlo for Township.	
	<b>b) (</b> 0	Community Garden: DeCarlo sent a rudimentary prospective plan for is sizeable. We think the community will love it. Steve Neale is the lead on this project and Frank Sustainable Verona is keeping the VEC posted of	the Garden. It	<ul> <li>Steve Neale will keep us updated on potential start date, which is thought to be later in the year. Funds will not be available until April 2019, so planting isn't</li> </ul>	



## Regular Meeting Minutes: Wednesday, February 13, 2019 at 7 p.m.

		information.		expected this year.		
	0	Sean and Frank drafted and sent their suggestions for changes		Frank and Steve Neale are the		
		on garden to Mike DeCarlo, adding a bike rack, smaller buffer		points of contact on this project		
		trees, compost bin and fencing suggestions.		Update next meeting.		
c)	<b>Si</b> 0 0 0	<ul> <li>te Plan Review/Tree/ Stormwater Ordinances:</li> <li>Site Plan, Stormwater, among other Ordinance drafts sent to Sean and Jess and are currently under review.</li> <li>Jess and Sean are awaiting new drafts for incorporation of our comments and potential new state mandates.</li> <li>Jess re-sent our preferred draft of a Tree Ordinance to Mike DeCarlo 1/4/19. His review and comments have not been sent back as yet.</li> <li>Sean filled in members on new proposed stormwater regulations at the state level, which require green infrastructure.</li> <li>Sean also briefed members on the new Stormwater Utilities proposal at the state level that is likely to pass. Municipalities may adopt and form a stormwater utility entity to collect a new tax linked to impervious cover to pay for the maintenance of their infrastructure relative to stormwater systems and management</li> </ul>	•	Ordinance Drafts will be reviewed in an ongoing manner by VEC for further editing. Sean/Jess reviewing team. All comments will be forwarded to Mike DeCarlo for his review and hopefully to be incorporated into the Ordinance. Sean will incorporate our comments on the Stormwater Ordinance on a marked word doc to be emailed to Township Engineer Mike DeCarlo at a later date.		
N		of excessive runoff.		updates from DeCarlo.		
d)		EC Plan Review Procedures	•	All plan reviews will be voted on even if the Plan Review		
	0	Sean emailed ANJEC on their recommendation for proper and thorugh plan reviews and approval procedures by Commissions		Committee (PRC) submitted them to the appropriate Board. Major Development plan reviews may require a special meeting of the VEC.		
	0	ANJEC recommends to review the plan reviews made by the committee and vote on them at all meetings, regardless of whether the reviews had been submitted prior to the Commission's meeting.	•			
	0	ANJEC recommends that in the case of major development plan review to potentially hold special meetings, which requires 48-hour advance notice.	•	New review comments will show that the VEC's PRC authored the submitted comments in memo format on behalf of the VEC.		
	0	MOTION to adopt new Plan Review voting procedures in our regularly scheduled meetings and to adhere to ANJEC recommendations to form special meetings on major development plan review if necessary: Jess; Second: Sean	•	All review comments will be voted upon whether they had been submitted prior to the		
	0			meeting or not.		
e)		arbon Fee Resolution Discussion on Citizens Climate Lobby support of Resolution in favor of Carbon fees:	•	Awaiting schedule from Manager Cavallo.		
	0	Jess sent Resolution to Mayor Ryan and Matt Cavallo				
	۰ ۲۰	No update as yet	•			
f)		VEC Organization follow up:		Sean, Michael, Jess and Steve Neale will meet to discuss the		
	0	Webhosting vs. Verona Web Site: (Sean and Michael) contacted Steve Neale. Both Sean and Mike feel that the community will benefit more by seeking information on a complete website rather than just a page from the existing township website. Other members agree.	•	continuance of a VEC website on 2/21/19. Jess adding Martin as Facebook editor.		
	0	Sarah has been posting on Facebook. Both Michael and Sarah are now editors. Steve Neale was added as Admin for compliance purposes.	•	Frank points out that there is vast overlap on many projects (with Sust.Verona) and we		
	0	Mike has credentials for Twitter. Jess suggests that he starts posting or re-tweeting some apt tweets for the commission.		should take advantage of our similar goals to meet objectives		



## Regular Meeting Minutes: Wednesday, February 13, 2019 at 7 p.m.

<ul> <li>Frank attended the 2/6/19 Sustainable Verona meeting and gave members an update.</li> <li>Frank attended the 2/6/19 Sustainable Verona meeting and gave members an update.</li> <li>Multi-town plastic bag ban ordinances are in the works. Tony will attend meeting at Town Hall on 2/19/19 and update us.</li> <li>Site Plan Review/Update         <ul> <li>a) 106 Park Street:</li> <li>PRC memos sent to Kelly Lawrence.</li> <li>PRC memos sent to Kelly Lawrence.</li> <li>Hearing is 2/14/19.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Memo for 106 Park Street: Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>b) 49 Gould Street         <ul> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off side where an exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 feet now, but appears less. No trees will come down. No room exists for any plantings. VEC found more impervious surface than was shown on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate numbers and sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comments for 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:                 <ul> <li>The approved properties will be able to move forward with potential special overlay zoning allowing new specific uses and bulk regulations.</li> <li>All plan reviews will be before the VEC and planning board.</li> <li>All plan reviews will be before the VEC and planning board.</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>Frank attended the 2/6/19 Sustainable Verona members an update.</li> <li>Site Plan Review/Update         <ul> <li>106 Park Street:                 <ul> <li>1947 Single Fam. with preexisting hardship of no side yard set-back and preexisting overage of imm coverage. VEC PRC took no issue with the plann addition. PRC merely suggested native plantings drain pipes and sustainable building products for</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	for Silver Certification with the
members an update.       • Multi-town plastic bag ban ordinances are in the works. Tony will attend meeting at Town Hall on 2/19/19 and update us.         6.       Site Plan Review/Update         a)       106 Park Street:         o       1947 Single Fam. with preexisting hardship of non-compliant side yard set-back and preexisting overage of improved lot coverage. VEC PRC took no issue with the planned 2 <sup>rd</sup> Story addition. PRC merely suggested naive plantings, disconnect drain pipes and sustainable building products for build out.       • PRC memos sent to Kelly Lawrence.         • MOTION to approve VEC PRC Memo for 106 Park Street: Martin; Second: Michael.       • APPROVAL: All members present AYE.       • Plan review was sent to Kelly Lawrence.         • b) 49 Gould Street       • Single Family home seeking a powder room off side where an exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 feet now, but appears less. No trees will come down. No room exists for any plantings. VEC found more impervious surface than was shown on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate numbers and sustainable building products for build out.       • Plan review was sent to Kelly Lawrence.         • MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comments for 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.       • APPROVAL: All members present AYE.       • The approved properties will be able to move forward with potential special overlay zoning criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".         • There areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting the criteria.       • The approved properties will be before the VEC and planning board.         • The TCl was voted as not meeting the criteria.	<ul> <li>members an update.</li> <li>6. Site Plan Review/Update <ul> <li>a) 106 Park Street:</li> <li>1947 Single Fam. with preexisting hardship of no side yard set-back and preexisting overage of imp coverage. VEC PRC took no issue with the plann addition. PRC merely suggested native plantings drain pipes and sustainable building products for</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Memo for 106 P Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> </ul> </li> <li>b) 49 Gould Street <ul> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off s exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 i appears less. No trees will come down. No room plantings. VEC found more impervious surface th on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate nu sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comme 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> </ul> </li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update: <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bo criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as mee o Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. New Business <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Me interested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden o keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>a) 106 Park Street:         <ul> <li>1947 Single Fam. with preexisting hardship of non-compliant side yard set-back and preexisting overage of improved lot coverage. VEC PRC took no issue with the planned 2<sup>nd</sup> Story addition. PRC merely suggested native planned 2<sup>nd</sup> Story addition.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Memo for 106 Park Street: Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comments for 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>Special Planning Board Meeting Update:             <ul> <li>The approved properties will be able to move forward with potential special overlay zoning allowing new specific uses and bulk regulations.</li> <li>All plan reviews will be before the VEC and planning board.</li> <li>The WBusiness</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>a) 106 Park Street:         <ul> <li>1947 Single Fam. with preexisting hardship of no side yard set-back and preexisting overage of imp coverage. VEC PRC took no issue with the plant addition. PRC merely suggested native plantings drain pipes and sustainable building products for</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Memo for 106 P Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> </ul> </li> <li>b) 49 Gould Street         <ul> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off s exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 is appears less. No trees will come down. No room plantings. VEC found more impervious surface th on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate nu sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comme 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> </ul> </li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:         <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bo criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as mee Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. New Business         <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden on keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multi-town plastic bag ban ordinances are in the works. Tony will attend meeting at Town</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1947 Single Fam. with preexisting hardship of non-compliant side yard set-back and preexisting overage of improved lot coverage. VEC PRC took no issue with the planned 2<sup>nd</sup> Story addition. PRC merely suggested native plantings, disconnect drain pipes and sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Memo for 106 Park Street: Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>49 Gould Street</li> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off side where an exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 feet now, but appears less. No trees will come down. No room exists for any plantings. VEC found more impervious surface than was shown on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate numbers and sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comments for 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>Special Planning Board Meeting Update:</li> <li>Thre areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Mew Business</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1947 Single Fam. with preexisting hardship of no side yard set-back and preexisting overage of improverage. VEC PRC took no issue with the plant addition. PRC merely suggested native plantings drain pipes and sustainable building products for</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Memo for 106 P Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>b) 49 Gould Street</li> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off s exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 i appears less. No trees will come down. No room plantings. VEC found more impervious surface th on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate nu sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comme 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:         <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bo criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as mee o Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>New Business         <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden o keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Instruction of the previous of improve plot coverage. VEC PRC took no issue with the planned 2<sup>nd</sup> Story addition. PRC merely suggested native plantings, disconnect drain pipes and sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Memo for 106 Park Street: Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li><b>b) 49 Gould Street</b></li> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off side where an exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 feet now, but appears less. No trees will come down. No room exists for any plantings. VEC found more impervious surface than was shown on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate numbers and sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comments for 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:</li> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCl was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>side yard set-back and preexisting overage of implexent coverage. VEC PRC took no issue with the plant addition. PRC merely suggested native plantings drain pipes and sustainable building products for</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Memo for 106 P Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>b) 49 Gould Street</li> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off s exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 i appears less. No trees will come down. No room plantings. VEC found more impervious surface th on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate nu sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comme 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:</li> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bo criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeted Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as recriteria.</li> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden o keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>b) 49 Gould Street</li> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off side where an exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 feet now, but appears less. No trees will come down. No room exists for any plantings. VEC found more impervious surface than was shown on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate numbers and sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comments for 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:         <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the VEC and planning board.</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Martin; Second: Michael.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>b) 49 Gould Street</li> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off s exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 is appears less. No trees will come down. No room plantings. VEC found more impervious surface the on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate nu sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comme 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:</li> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bo criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as mee Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Meinterested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden on keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul>	• Hearing is 2/14/19. • disconnect
<ul> <li>b) 49 Gould Street         <ul> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off side where an exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 feet now, but appears less. No trees will come down. No room exists for any plantings. VEC found more impervious surface than was shown on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate numbers and sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comments for 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> </ul> </li> <li>c) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:         <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCl was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. New Business</li> <li>Plan review was sent to Kelly Lawrence.</li> <li>Hearing is 2/14/19</li> <li>Hearing is 2/14/19</li> <li>Hearing is 2/14/19</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>b) 49 Gould Street</li> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off s exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 appears less. No trees will come down. No room plantings. VEC found more impervious surface th on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate nu sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comme 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:</li> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bo criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting and the criteria.</li> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Meinterested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden on keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul>	ark Street:
<ul> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off side where an exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 feet now, but appears less. No trees will come down. No room exists for any plantings. VEC found more impervious surface than was shown on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate numbers and sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comments for 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>Special Planning Board Meeting Update:         <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Single Family home seeking a powder room off s exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 is appears less. No trees will come down. No room plantings. VEC found more impervious surface the on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate nu sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comme 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:         <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bo criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as mee Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. New Business         <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Meinterested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden of keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A structure of the sector of a powder found on side wine and exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 feet now, but appears less. No trees will come down. No room exists for any plantings. VEC found more impervious surface than was shown on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate numbers and sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Comments for 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:         <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCl was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>exit is currently. The side yard set-back is only 6 is appears less. No trees will come down. No room plantings. VEC found more impervious surface the on survey. Suggests resubmittal with accurate nuis sustainable building products for build out.</li> <li>MOTION to approve VEC PRC Review Commer 49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>C) Special Planning Board Meeting Update: <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bocriteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeted as meeted as the set of the</li></ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>c) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:         <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the viteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. New Business</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>49 Gould Street: Jess; Second: Sean.</li> <li>APPROVAL: All members present AYE.</li> <li>c) Special Planning Board Meeting Update: <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bocriteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as mee</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. New Business <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Meinterested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden of keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	• Hearing is 2/14/19 an exists for any an was shown
<ul> <li>c) Special Planning Board Meeting Update:         <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>New Business</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>c) Special Planning Board Meeting Update: <ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bocriteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as recriteria.</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. New Business <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Meinterested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden or keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	nts for
<ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Board as meeting criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>New Business</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Three areas were considered by the Planning Bo criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as mee</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> </ul> 7. New Business <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Me interested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden of keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>The calcular world considered by the Hamming Board as meeting the criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>New Business</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>criteria for an "Area in Need of Redevelopment".</li> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as mee</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> </ul> 7. New Business <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Me interested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden or keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>The TCI was voted as not meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>New Business</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cameco and surrounding lots were voted as mee</li> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> <li>7. New Business</li> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Me interested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden of keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul>	potential special overlay zoning
<ul> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as meeting the criteria.</li> <li>New Business</li> <li>Members express interest in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bloomfield Ave behind Fells Road was voted as r criteria.</li> <li>7. New Business <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Me interested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden or keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	bulk regulations.
criteria.     • Members express interest in	<ul> <li>criteria.</li> <li>7. New Business <ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Me interested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden of keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All plan reviews will be before</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Jess polled VEC on interest in a perennial garden (a planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Me interested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden of keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Me interested.</li> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art in Members were more inclined to promote a garden of keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art</li> </ul>	
planned) on the frontage of Grove Park property. Members very Grove Park.	Members were more inclined to promote a garden of keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art	<ul><li>Grove Park.</li><li>Jess will put together a list of</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Jess mentioned a potential Grove Park mosaic art installation. Members were more inclined to promote a garden on the site and keep the historic natural preserve unfettered with art.</li> <li>Jess will attend ANJEC workshop on 3/2/19 in Fair</li> </ul>	Members were asked about a cleanup in Eeb, or any	stallation.       Manager review.         n the site and       Jess will attend ANJEC
<ul> <li>Members were asked about a cleanup in Feb. or any Sunday in March and were not readily available to commit to it, citing weather issues. VEC's first clean-up is in April at Grove Park to celebrate</li> </ul>	March and were not readily available to commit to it, issues. VEC's first clean-up is in April at Grove Park Earth Day	Sunday in Lawn. Other members may attend.     to celebrate
Earth Day	8 Adjournment: Next meeting March 13, 2019 7 p.m.	VCC meeting Room.